



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,092	06/25/2003	Po-Ching Lin	LKSP0009USA	1091
27765	7590	06/29/2004	EXAMINER	
NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE) P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116				RUTLEDGE, DELLA J
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2851				

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Offic Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,092	LIN, PO-CHING
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. Rutledge	2851

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period f r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 3,4 and 6 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

In the Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On Page 2, line 2 has an extra character, "1000 A□thick".

Appropriate correction is required.

Objection the Claims

2. In Claims 1 and 8, insert a space between the Claim number and the Claim text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakazato et al. (US 5,314,068).

The reference disclose a reticle transferring support having a base (1); a plurality of braces (1a) for supporting the reticle (2); a plurality holders (1c) for fixing the reticle (2) by means of the inclined plane; a clip (3). See Figs. 3 and 5. Fig. 6 shows the reticle transferring support in a stocker.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5 and 7 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazato et al. (US 5,314,068).

The reference does not disclose the material of which the braces are made, however, since plastic is so commonly used in most industries because of its moldable and inexpensive, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use plastic braces. The reference does not disclose the robot for loading/unloading the reticle, but one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have known that the environment for use of the device, as given in the background of the invention, is the manufacture of a semiconductor devices where robots are commonly used to transfer reticles and would have been motivated to use the reticle transferring support with a robot in that environment.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 4 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is an examiner's statement for indicating allowable subject matter:
the prior art does not disclose the a reticle transferring support having plurality of
spherical braces or adjustable braces installed on the fringe of the base and supporting
a reticle; a plurality of holders installed on the fringe of the base for supporting reticle
and having a curved surface for fixing the reticle by means of an inner part of the
holders so that the reticle is automatically aligned to prevent shifting.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to
applicant's disclosure. Fosnight et al. (US 6,216,873) has a reticle transferring support
having holders (112) with surfaces (129,130) for automatically aligning the reticle and
preventing shifting of the reticle and Hayakawa et al. (US 5,375,710) has an IC carrier
having aligning means (8a, 8b, 9 and 4,12) for preventing shifting of the IC package.

Response Data

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to D. Rutledge whose telephone number is (571) 272-
2127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
supervisor, Russell Adams can be reached on (571) 272-2851. The fax phone number
for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



D. Rutledge
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

dr
6/26/2004